

130 Avery Lane Aiken, South Carolina

Grades 5-8 Middle School

Enrollment 52 Students

PrincipalKeisha Lloyd-Kennedy803-644-4824SuperintendentDr. Elizabeth Everitt803-641-2428Board ChairDr. Christine Harkins803-663-1703

2010 REPORT CARD

RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD YFAR ABSOLUTE RATING GROWTH RATING 2010 At-Risk At-Risk 2009 At-Risk At-Risk 2008 At-Risk At-Risk 2007 At-Risk At-Risk

At-Risk

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

At-Risk

2006

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, SC's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.eoc.sc.gov


Percent of Student PASS Records Matched for Purpose of Computing Growth Rating

Percent of students tested in 2009-10 whose 2008-09 test scores were located

83.7%

ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF MIDDLE SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS*								
Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	At-Risk				
•	•	00	00	_				

^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by 03/09/2011.



^{*} Middle schools with Students Like Ours are middle schools with poverty indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for the school.

Definition of	Critical Terms
Exemplary	"Exemplary" means the student demonstrated exemplary performance in meeting the grade level standard.
Met	"Met" means the student met the grade level standard.
Not Met	"Not Met" means that the student did not meet the grade level standard.

End of Course Tests

Percent of tests with scores of 70 or above on:	Our Middle School	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	66.7%	92.6%
English 1	100.0%	90.2%
Physical Science	N/A	72.3%
US History and the Constitution	N/A	N/A
All Subjects	83.3%	91.1%

School Profile				
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n=52)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	0.0%	No Change	13.9%	24.2%
Retention rate	0.0%	Down from 11.9%	1.0%	0.7%
Attendance rate	99.4%	Up from 95.0%	95.4%	95.9%
Eligible for gifted and talented	5.4%	Up from 2.2%	9.5%	16.4%
With disabilities other than speech	19.6%	Up from 15.6%	13.5%	12.0%
Older than usual for grade	7.7%	Up from 5.7%	4.2%	2.2%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	0.0%	No Change	0.4%	0.5%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No Change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n=6)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	40.0%	Up from 20.0%	58.3%	58.5%
Continuing contract teachers	20.0%	Down from 40.0%	69.2%	80.0%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	33.3%	Down from 50.0%	10.7%	4.0%
Teachers returning from previous year	73.3%	N/A	80.6%	84.6%
Teacher attendance rate	99.6%	Up from 96.5%	95.4%	95.4%
Average teacher salary*	\$21,978	Down 18.4%	\$45,476	\$46,561
Professional development days/teacher	5.0 days	Up from 2.9 days	11.2 days	10.2 days
School				
Principal's years at school	9.0	Up from 4.0	3.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	5.3 to 1	Down from 7.0 to 1	19.7 to 1	21.1 to 1
Prime instructional time	99.1%	Up from 91.1%	89.7%	90.4%
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent	Up from Good	Good	Good
SACS accreditation	No	No Change	Yes	Yes
Parents attending conferences	94.5%	Down from 99.8%	94.6%	98.1%
Character development program	Excellent	No Change	Good	Good
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$23,788	N/A	\$9,182	\$7,802
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	71.0%	N/A	62.1%	63.8%
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	57.6%	N/A	57.5%	60.0%

^{*} Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

^{**} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

This school year was defined by a new outlook, new teaching standards and a new attitude. In order to reach our World Class initiative of achieving excellence in teaching, student performance and parent involvement; the Lloyd Kennedy Charter School (LKCS) focused on outlook, standards and attitude. Our mission has always been to service those students at-risk academically, socially and/or personally. However, for the past three years we have witnessed an ever increasing achievement gap in the majority of our students, and this gap is evidenced in student standardized test scores. In response to this growing trend, the teaching staff was trained on taking the Curriculum Standards and using creative/innovative ways of translating that information to the students. We also focused on student and parent accountability, as we have determined academic success must also be supported at home. The LKCS plans to implement our World Class initiative for the next two years, with the trial test ending in June of 2012. At the end of the three year period, we anticipate a marked improvement in student test scores, student attendance and parent involvement.

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents									
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*						
Number of surveys returned	5	16	13						
Percent satisfied with learning environment	I/S	57.1%	84.6%						
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	62.5%	76.9%						
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	100.0%	62.5%	75.0%						

^{*} Only students at the highest middle school grade level and their parents were included.

No Child Left Behind

School Adequate Yearly Progress

NO

This school met 6 out of 7 objectives. The objectives included student performance, graduation rate or student attendance, and participation in the state testing program.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for "All Students" and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency in the areas of English/Language Arts and Mathematics, as well as meeting the statewide target for "All Students" for attendance or graduation rate.

School Improvement Status

R

School	Improvement Key
NI	Newly Identified-The school missed adequate yearly progress (AYP) for two years. Sanction: Offer school choice.
CSI	Continuing School Improvement-The school missed AYP for three years. Sanctions: Continue school choice and implement supplemental services.
CA	Corrective Action-The school missed AYP for four years. Sanction: Continue school choice and supplemental services. The school district takes a corrective action.
RP	Plan to Restructure-Sanctions: Continue school choice and supplemental services. Develop a plan to restructure. If the school misses AYP the next year, the school implements the restructuring plan.
R	Restructure-The school missed AYP after two years of corrective action. Sanctions: Implement the restructuring plan. Continue school choice and supplemental services.
DELAY	The school met AYP in all subgroups and the indicator for one year, thus the delay provision applies. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Delay."
HOLD	The school made progress for one year in the subject area that identified the school for school improvement. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Hold."

Teacher Quality and Student Attendance		
	Our District	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	1.1%	1.9%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	2.4%	5.6%

	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	36.2%	0.0%	No
Student attendance rate	99.4%	94.0%*	Yes

^{*} Or greater than last year

LLOYD-KENNEDY CHARTER SCHOOL 03/09/11-0201601										
PASS Performance By Group										
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	School % Met or Exemplary*	District % Met or Exemplary*	State % Met or Exemplary*	Performance Objective Met	Participation Objective Met
English/Lango	uage Art	s - Stat	e Perfor	mance	Objectiv	e = 58.	8% (Me	t or Exe	mplary)	
All Students	49	100	55	32.5	12.5	55	85.1	83.5	No	Yes
Gender										
Male	27	100	75	20	5	35	82.1	80.1	N/A	N/A
Female	22	100	35	45	20	75	88.2	87	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	20	100	55.6	27.8	16.7	55.6	89.8	89.6	I/S	I/S
African American	29	100	54.5	36.4	9.1	54.5	77.6	74.6	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	95.1	92.7	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	81.9	79.6	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	93.3	85.1	I/S	I/S
Disability Status										
Disabled	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	50.3	51.7	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status										
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	69.5	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency										
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	81.1	79	I/S	I/S
Socio-Economic Status										
Subsidized meals	40	100	60.6	30.3	9.1	51.5	79.4	76.9	I/S	Yes
Mathema	atics - S	tate Per	forman	ce Obje	ctive = 5	7.8% (I	Met or E	xempla	ry)	
All Students	49	100	67.5	30	2.5	45	79.8	80.4	Yes	Yes
Gender										
Male	27	100	70	25	5	45	78.1	78.4	N/A	N/A
Female	22	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	45	81.6	82.5	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	20	100	55.6	38.9	5.6	55.6	86.1	87.8	I/S	I/S
African American	29	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	36.4	70	69.3	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	91.4	93.5	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	76.9	78.3	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	83.3	83.2	I/S	I/S
Disability Status										
Disabled	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	43.1	46.1	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status										
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	71.4	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency										
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	76.4	78.9	I/S	I/S
Socio-Economic Status										
Subsidized meals	40	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	42.4	72.1	72.8	I/S	Yes

^{*} Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance.

LLOYD-KENNEDY CH	HARTER S	SCHOOL					03/09/11	-0201601
PASS Performance B	y Group							
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	School % Met or Exemplary	District % Met or Exemplary	State % Met or Exemplary
			Scien	ice				
All Students	32	96.9	70.8	25	4.2	29.2	65.3	67.3
Gender								
Male	19	100	69.2	23.1	7.7	30.8	65.8	66.9
Female	13	92.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	27.3	64.8	67.7
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	11	90.9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	76.4	79.6
African American	21	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	20	48.8	49.7
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	87.2	84.4
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	53.1	59.4
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	70.6	69.5
Disability Status								
Disabled	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	29.9	33.8
Migrant Status								
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	36.5
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	53.7	58.6
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	26	96.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	20	53	55.4
	'		Social S	tudios	•			•
All Charlents	20	100		1	2.7	44.4	00.0	70.0
All Students	32	100	55.6	40.7	3.7	44.4	66.2	70.9
Gender	40	400	NI/A	N1/A	NI/A	40	00.5	70.4
Male	18	100	N/A 50	N/A 41.7	N/A 8.3	40	66.5	70.1 71.7
Female	14	100	50	41.7	8.3	50	66	/1./
Racial/Ethnic Group	45	400	50.0	00.5	7.7	40.0	70.7	70.0
White	15 17	100	53.8 N/A	38.5 N/A	7.7 N/A	46.2 42.9	73.7	79.2
African American Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	42.9 N/A	53.7 80.3	58.4 86.8
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A	65.8	68
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	81.8	71.2
Disability Status	U	14/71	14/71	14/74	14/71	14// (01.0	11.2
Disability Status Disabled	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	31.4	39.3
Migrant Status		1 "0	1/0	1,0	1/0	1/0	01.7	00.0
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	55
English Proficiency	U	11/71	IN/A	IV/A	IN/A	IN/A	1/3	55
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	65.1	68
	U	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	00.1	00
Socio-Economic Status								

60.9 34.8 4.3

39.1 55.5 60.8

100

Subsidized meals

LLOYD-KENNEDY CHARTER SCHOOL 03/09/11-0201601										
PASS Performance By Group										
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	School % Met or Exemplary	District % Met or Exemplary	State % Met or Exemplary	School Attendance Rate	District Attendance Rate
				Writing	J					
All Students	53	100	55.8	27.9	16.3	44.2	73.1	72.1	99.4	95.9
Gender										
Male	31	100	69.6	21.7	8.7	30.4	66.6	65.2	99.8	95.8
Female	22	100	40	35	25	60	80	79.2	98.9	96
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	22	100	40	35	25	60	79.8	80.8	98.9	95.7
African American	31	100	69.6	21.7	8.7	30.4	62.9	59.7	99.7	96
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	86.4	87	N/A	97.6
Hispanic	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	66.1	64.6	N/A	96.2
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	80.6	73.4	N/A	96.4
Disability Status										
Disabled	11	100	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	24.3	27.7	98.1	95
Migrant Status										
Migrant	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	63.5	N/A	95.6
English Proficiency										
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	65.3	63.7	N/A	96.5
Socio-Economic Status										

Subsidized meals

44 100 58.3 30.6 11.1 41.7 63.6 61.9 99.3 95.3

LLOI	DINCINILO	I OII/UNILIN	OOITOOL			00/03	711 0201001
PASS	S Performano	e By Grade L	.evel				
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary
			Englisl	h/Language A	rts		
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	5	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
2009	6	12	100	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	7	11	100	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	8	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
0	4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2010	5	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
5	6	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	7	15	100	66.7	25	8.3	33.3
	8	17	100	60	13.3	26.7	40
			M	lathematics			
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2009	5	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
20	6	12	100	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	7	11	100	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	8	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
0	4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2010	5	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
2	6	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	7	15	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	16.7
	8	17	100	46.7	46.7	6.7	53.3
				Science			
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2009	5	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
5 (6	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	7	11	100	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	8	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
0	4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2010	5	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
2	6 7	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
		15	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	25
	8	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S

PASS Performance By Grade Level							
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary
Social Studies							
	3 4	N/A N/A	N/AV N/AV	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
ĕ	5	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
2009	6	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	7	11	100	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	8	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
0	4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2010	5	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
7	6	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	7	15	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	25
	8	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Writing							
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2009	5	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
7(6	11	100	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	7	14	100	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	8	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
0	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2010	5	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
2	6	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	7	16	100	76.9	15.4	7.7	23.1
	8	19	100	25	50	25	75